For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED S'	TATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EOD THE NODTHEDN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 96-00094-1 JSW
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING AS MOOT APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN
11	V.	FORMA PAUPERIS AND DENYING MOTION FOR
12	JOHN THAT LUONG,	CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY AND
13	Defendant.	INSTRUCTIONS TO COUNSEL
14		(Docket Nos. 2159, 2160)
15		

On April 22, 2015, Defendant John That Luong, acting pro se, filed a motion to for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. (Docket No. 2159.) On April 15, 2015, Defendant's counsel on his motion to vacate filed a notice of appeal and paid the filing fee. Accordingly, the Court DENIES, AS MOOT, the application to proceed in forma pauperis.

In addition, Defendant has filed a motion for a certificate of appealability and a supplemental brief in support of that motion. (Docket Nos. 2160-2161.) In its Order denying Defendant's motion to vacate, it also denied a certificate of appealability, and this Court will not revisit that issue. Accordingly, it DENIES the motion a certificate of appealability for the reasons set forth in its prior Order.

Although Defendant may not appeal the denial of a certificate of appealability, he may seek a certificate from the Court of Appeals under Rule 22 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. See Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings.

Case4:96-cr-00094-JSW Document2162 Filed04/24/15 Page2 of 2

Finally, it is HEREBY ORDERED that counsel for Defendant, Ethan A. Balogh, Esc] .,
shall serve a copy of this Order on the Defendant and file proof of service with the Court.	

Dated: April 24, 2015

IT IS SO ORDERED.